

2) Ali Ufki 571/113. 1 = 1. The piece is described as nazive-i Küçük Ahmed Beg.

111 3: 9 dc, 4: 4 d.

M a 1: 2 Bd, 4 c, 9-10 Bd, 2: 7-8 d e () 3: 7-8 eft ga, 4: 4 ga, 5-6 gft ftc, 9-10 prima volta: ed eBd, seconda volta: d.

Mb2: Rag, 9-10 a, 3: 4 g, 9-10 ag ft.



1) 112 a 4: 1 and 2 are smudged and cannot be read clearly. One might conjecture fit g corrected to d.

H3 b: The notation ends with dJ (end of 4), followed by the last four notes of 2, i.e. Cantemir appears to have conflated the *primalseconda* volta variants, but (to judge by the 'Ali Ufki version) in reverse order, the probable reason being that the four time-unit duration of the final seconda volta d has been inadvertently applied to the d preceding the four final notes of 2.

2) 112 a 1: 10 g, 3: 4 d'c', 5 d'c', 10 g/l, 4: 1-2 ft ed, 3 eft. -2.

112 b 2: 2 cd, 3-4 cft ga, 7-10 prima volta: de ftg abd c'd', seconda volta: d.

113 a 1,2: 3 e, 4 d, 3: 10 B41. 1 and 2 are written as one cycle to be repeated. There is no repeat sign after 4, so that the next block to be repeated is made up of H3 a 2 and 3 + H3 b 1 and 2.

113 h 1: 7-8 g e (1), 9-10 ft e (1), 2: prima volta as 2, seconda volta as 4.

113 is followed by a serbend (related to H1 3 and 4):

